

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/018,292 | 12/14/2001 | Klaus David Gradischnig | SIEM0021U/US | 1664 |
| 31518 | 7590 10/03/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| NEIFELD IP LAW, PC 4813-B EISENHOWER AVENUE | | | EMDADI, KAMRAN | |
| | A, VA 22304 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2667 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/018,292 | GRADISCHNIG E | GRADISCHNIG ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| · | | Kamran Emdadi | 2667 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 De | ecember 2001 | | | | | |
| | | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | , . | nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| ٠,۵ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| · _ | | | | | | | |
| - | 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| • | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | | | | | | | |
| ′= | | election requirement | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r . | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Coo the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies flot received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) 🛛 Infor | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-14-01</u> . | | of Informal Patent Application (PT) | O-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "gaps in a received message stream" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2667

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,049,902).

Regarding claims 1 and 5-6, Davis teaches a method of retransmitting data packets that uses a selective technique for retransmitting only the needed packet instead of the entire packet stream (see figures 4 and 6, and column 5, lines 22-40). The packets are consecutively numbered in a number sequence (column 5, lines 30-35). A request is made to retransmit if a gap is found in the transmission via a lack of acknowledgement (column 4, lines 40-45). The messages that are retransmitted have a special feature of being included in the selective reject packet (SREJ). Further, the devices transmitting and receiving could be a higher level varying from one device to another as an inherent feature of the OSI model (i.e. layer two devices and layer three devices).

Regarding claim 2, two logical channels 15 and 17 carrying independent data streams are allocated by a multiplex device and are maintained independent of each other (see column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 5).

Art Unit: 2667

Regarding claim 3, the special feature, as noted above with respect to claim 1, is a marking or special designation of a SREJ packet. (see 109 of figure 4).

Regarding claims 4 and 7, the multiplex device is of a transmission link (see column 3, lines 45–50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Davis in view of Gradischnig (5,748,636).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by:

(1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is

Art Unit: 2667

the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Regarding claim 8, the Q.2210 feature is not included in the teachings of Davis, the feature is however included in the embodiment of figure 1 of Gradischnig. The Gradischnig reference teaches a method of transmitting signaling information on an ATM network. This reference was patented more than one year before the filing date of the instant application. The motivation to combine the teachings of the common inventor Gradischnig and the retransmission system of Davis is therefore evident by the description of a transmission system similar to the one used in Davis (i.e. signaling and ISDN) and included a common protocol such as Q.2210. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined these teachings to arrive at the features recited in claim 8.

Art Unit: 2667

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamran Emdadi whose telephone number is 571-272-6047. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F between the hours of 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kamran Emdadi

September 28, 2005

EDERAISORY PATENT EXAMIN

-CHANDI DEV CENTER 200